## PINDAR, NEMEAN 9.17

ἀνδροδάμαν δ' Ἐριφύλαν, ὅρκιον ὡς ὅτε πιστόν, δόντες Οἰκλείδαι γυναῖκα, ξανθοκομᾶν Δαναῶν ἦσαν μέγιστοι <- - -> καί ποτ' ἐς ἐπταπύλους Θήβας ἄγαγον στρατὸν ἀνδρῶν αἰσιᾶν οὐ κατ' ὀρνίχων ὁδόν 16

16 ἀνδροδάμαν δ' J. G. Gurlitt, *Deutsches Museum* (1786), 1.353, n. 7: ἀνδροδάμαντ' fere codd. 18 αἰσιᾶν Tricl.: αἰσιῶν Β, om. D

One popular way of supplying the missing syllables has been to insert a substantive in agreement with  $\mu \acute{e}\gamma \iota \sigma \tau o\iota$  (for example Bergk's  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \acute{e}\tau \alpha \iota$ ), or (with  $\kappa \alpha \iota$   $\pi o\tau \epsilon$  placed at the end of 17) an adjective in agreement with  $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \acute{o}\nu$  (see above), but neither word particularly calls for expansion, and  $\mu \acute{e}\gamma \iota \sigma \tau o\iota$  at least is better left without.<sup>3</sup> Boeckh instead proposes for the end of  $17 < \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \acute{o}\theta \epsilon \nu >$ , to be taken with what follows, but this cannot be right. A definite 'from that moment', as at Aesch. Ag. 220  $(\tau \acute{o}\theta \epsilon \nu)$ ,<sup>4</sup> could hardly be followed in the same sentence by the vague  $\pi o\tau \epsilon$ ; nor would it be sufficiently clear that the words supplied begin a sentence.<sup>5</sup> Boeckh's supplement was suggested by the scholiast's  $\acute{e}\nu \tau \epsilon \acute{v}\theta \epsilon \nu \delta \acute{\eta}$   $\kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \epsilon \acute{l}s \tau \grave{\alpha}s \Theta \acute{\eta} \beta \alpha s \pi \sigma \tau \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha}s \epsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \pi \acute{\nu} \lambda o \nu s \sigma \tau \rho \acute{\alpha}\tau \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha \mathring{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma o \nu$  (sch. 41, 3.155.8–9 Dr.), but  $\acute{e}\nu \tau \epsilon \acute{v}\theta \epsilon \nu \delta \acute{\eta}$  'next' is a standard prose expression, and need not correspond to anything in the poetic text: the scholiast simply felt the need to give an indication of the sequence of events,<sup>6</sup> something which the transmitted text strangely fails to provide.

There is no reason to think that the scholiast had a fuller text than the manuscripts exhibit, but his honest attempt at paraphrase may still be helpful. The subject of 16–17 is given in sch. 35d, reasonably enough, as of  $\pi\epsilon\rho$  Abpastor (155.7), but the subject of 18–19, we read, is of  $\pi\epsilon\rho$  Application  $\kappa$  Alphastor (sch. 41, 155.10). It is by no means clear that Pindar could expect such a shift to be understood. We require an explicit indication of Amphiaraus' participation in the enterprise: without such an indication, the reference to his death at 24–7 below comes in very abruptly. It would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. now my note on Nem. 6.17–18 (Pindar's Nemeans: A Selection [Munich and Leipzig, 2005], 58)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See M. L. West, *Greek Metre* (Oxford, 1982), 61, referring to an unpublished paper by W. S. Barrett.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Braswell's commentary for parallels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Boeckh himself (in the critical notes to his large edition, 1.2.550) explains his supplement as meaning 'hinc igitur, hoc est, ob potentiam ex conjunctione virium natam maximam', but this seems impossible. It is not supported by the examples of  $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$  at Aesch. Pers. 100 and Bacchyl. 5.197 (the latter added by Braswell), both 'thence'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Hartung indeed takes the phrase with what precedes: but then it is mere padding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cf. C. L. Kayser, Lectiones Pindaricae (Heidelberg, 1840), 85.

Oxford

W. B. HENRY

w\_b\_henry@yahoo.co.uk doi: 10.1017/S0009838806000280

## TWO CONJECTURES ON THE SUPPLICES OF EURIPIDES

295 αλλ' είς ὄκνον μοι μῦθος ὃν κεύθω φέρει

Soph. OT 991,  $\tau\iota$   $\delta$ '  $\epsilon\sigma\tau$ '  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu\eta_S$   $\delta\mu\iota\nu$   $\epsilon$ S  $\phi\delta\beta\sigma\nu$   $\phi\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$ ; , is cited by Collard in support of  $\mu\sigma\iota$  here (a datiuus commodi, allegedly). However, the construction there is different:  $\delta\mu\iota\nu$  can be taken with  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$ . Here there is nothing but  $\delta\kappa\nu\sigma\nu$  for  $\mu\sigma\iota$  to latch onto. It cannot go with  $\mu\nu\theta\sigma$ S because  $\mu\sigma\iota$  as an enclitic will tend to cohere with what precedes it.

Professor Diggle observes, per litteras, that  $\mu o \iota$  here gains some support from Soph. OT 519–20, où  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  eis  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu / \dot{\gamma}$   $\zeta \eta \mu \dot{\iota} \alpha$   $\mu o \iota$   $\tau o \hat{\nu}$   $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma o \nu$   $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau o \nu$  depet, and that the stress on self seems appropriate. But in that Sophoclean example  $\mu o \iota$  seems to be a possessive dative making the punishment personal to Creon, whereas  $\mu o \iota$  is superfluous to  $\ddot{\alpha} \kappa \nu o \nu$  here. In my view there is no need for Aethra to stress that her concealed  $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta o s$  leads to hesitation for her. The fact that she is concealing it rather presupposes that the hesitation is hers.

I am inclined to write  $\partial \lambda \lambda' \epsilon is \delta' \kappa \nu \rho \nu \tau \sigma \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$ , thereby removing the need to explain the unusual  $\mu \sigma \iota$ , giving the ordinary absolute usage of  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ , and throwing a natural emphasis on the hesitation Aethra's unspoken word engenders.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> C. Collard, Euripides' Supplices (Gröningen, 1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> None of the other examples cited by Bruhn, *Anhang* (Berlin, 1899), §247.26, is quite equivalent. <sup>3</sup> Cf. LSJ s.v. VII.1. *CQ*'s anonymous reader cites Hdt. 6.42.1 and 4.90.1 as possible examples

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See examples of ἀλλὰ . . . τοι at Denniston, Greek Particles (Oxford, 1954<sup>2</sup>), 549.